The Letter to the Reviewer

is, by definition, "written by a student to discuss his or her growth as a writer and to reflect on the pieces in the portfolio."

A key element is **reflection** – the ability of the writer **to understand his own growth** as a writer and **to analyze the significance of that growth**.

It is

- focused on a central idea or purpose
- written with the reviewer in mind, using appropriate tone, diction, and structure
- substantiated with relevant supporting details that demonstrate the growth of the writer
- organized in a manner to demonstrate the writer's growth

A strong letter to the reviewer demonstrates both insight and analysis.

The Letter to the Reviewer is NOT

- an opportunity to praise or criticize KERA
- a generic description of the stages of the writing process
- a thank you note to express gratitude for a reviewer assessing a portfolio
- an elaborated table of contents listing and/ or summarizing each portfolio piece
- an evaluation of a teacher and/ or class
- a list of unsupported claims about improvement as a writer
- an unrealistic unedited piece written on the day the portfolio is due
- a persuasive letter to convince the teacher how much the student loves writing
- a final attempt to schmooze the teacher into giving out A's

Dottie Willis, Jefferson County

Letter to the Reviewer

How do the writers demonstrate reflection?	
Do you see evidence of a focused purpose in the letters? Where?	
Where do you see audience awareness?	
Is there evidence of relevant details which support the writers' purposes and/or claims?	
Do the letters appear to be written using a formula approach, such as ineffective analogies, summaries of pieces, or answers to teacher questions? Where do we see this?	
What do the letters tell you about the instructional program of the school?	
What other commonalities do you see in the letters?	